The Good Governance Vital Sign provides information on the health of environmental governance in Puget Sound. Measures of governance go beyond the basic functions of government and include the formal and informal mechanisms for decision-making and policy. According to the United Nations, “Good governance has eight major characteristics. It is participatory, consensus oriented, accountable, transparent, responsive, effective and efficient, equitable, and inclusive and follows the rule of law. It assures that corruption is minimized, accounts for the views of minorities and assures that the voices of the most vulnerable in society are heard in decision-making. It is also responsive to the present and future needs of society.” Whether people feel that environmental governance is good represents their acceptance of how the environment around them is managed.

### Key Vital Sign Messages

- Scientists asked Puget Sound residents for their opinions about many aspects of environmental governance in the Puget Sound region. Most people’s answers ranged from ‘somewhat agree’ to ‘somewhat disagree’ about the seven aspects of good governance, and the average was neutral. Neutrality may mean several things including:
  - Individuals feel some aspects of environmental governance are good and others are bad; therefore, on balance they feel neutral.
  - Individuals feel indifferent about environmental governance.
  - Individuals do not have enough information to create strong opinions.

- Puget Sound residents think of a wide variety of organizations and policy makers at the local, state, tribal, and national level when asked about governance. Examples include Washington State agencies like the Department of Natural Resources, the Department of Ecology, and the Department of Fisheries and Wildlife; Federal agencies like the US EPA and US Forest Service; city and county councils; Indian tribes; Congress; mayors; and even media outlets and nonprofit land trusts.

- How Puget Sound residents feel about environmental governance was about the same in 2018 and 2020. Perceptions about governance are psychological constructs that typically change slowly. Making progress on this Vital Sign would be indicated by more residents over time perceiving the aspects of environmental governance are good.

- Demographic information such as age, race, income, and political ideology explains only very little about opinions about environmental governance.

### Related Strategies

- Awareness of Effects of Climate Change
- Climate Adaptation & Resilience
- Economic Benefits
- Education Partnerships
- Funding
- Good Governance
- Place Attachment
- Research & Monitoring
- Stewardship & Motivating Action
- Strategic Leadership & Collaboration
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Puget Sound residents with a strong sense of place have more favorable perceptions about environmental governance in Puget Sound. This means that those who feel more strongly connected to Puget Sound tend to trust and feel represented by leaders. Managers and decision makers could focus on fostering people’s connections to Puget Sound to improve perceptions about environmental governance.

The surveys informing the Good Governance Vital Sign were not specific to the Puget Sound Action Agenda or the National Estuary Program; therefore, the Vital Sign offers insight into broader natural resource governance throughout the region.

**Background Documents**

- Leadership Council Resolution 2015-02, Human Health and Quality of Life Vital Signs and Indicators
- Human Wellbeing Vital Signs and Indicators for Puget Sound Recovery (2015), technical report describing the recommended portfolio of Puget Sound Human Health and Quality of Life Vital Signs and indicators

**Other Resources**

- Articles related to quality of life in the Encyclopedia of Puget Sound

**Contributing Partners**
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